A new species of *Manoharachariella* (hyphomycetes) from Central Anatolia, Turkey
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**Abstract**

*Manoharachariella elsadii* is described as a new species from Turkey. It differs from the two known species by possessing smaller conidia with fewer septa. In addition, it has shorter conidiophores than *M. indica* and longer conidiophores than *M. lignicola*.
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**Introduction**

Turkey has a very diverse flora, and the higher plants have been well studied. However, the mycobiota has not been extensively investigated and most studies deal with macromycetes especially agaricoid fungi. During the past two decades research on micromycetes (including hyphomycetes) in the country has intensified (Hüseyinov & Selçuk 1999, Braun et al. 2000, Hüseyin & Selçuk 2001, Hüseyin et al. 2003, 2005, Mel’nik et al. 2004, Selçuk et al. 2009, 2010, 2014).

A new hyphomycete species was found during a trip to Kervansaray Mountain, Kirşehir Province, Central Anatolian Peninsula. This mountain lies in the Irano-Turanian phytogeographic region (Hamzaoğlu 1996). The fungus belongs to the genus *Manoharachariella*, which was described by Bagyanarayana et al. (2009). They described the type species, *M. lignicola*, on an unidentified dead twig from Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India. A second species was described as *M. indica* by Rajeshkumar & Singh (2012).

**Materials & Methods**

Samples were collected during a mycological excursion to the Kervansaray Mountain in Boztepe District, Kirşehir Province of Turkey in 2012. Dead twigs were observed and photographed using an Olympus SZX 16 binocular stereomicroscope with an Olympus DP26 Digi-CAM camera. The collections were examined in distilled water and for microphotographs a Leica DM 3000 (Axio imager 2 equipped with Nomarski differential interference contrast optics) microscope was used. Identification was carried out through comparison with current taxonomic works of hyphomycetous fungi under consideration. The examined specimen is deposited at the Ahi Evran University, Arts and Sciences Faculty, Department of Biology, in Kirşehir Province of Turkey.
Results
The following description is based on fungal material found in Central Anatolia (Turkey) on
dead branches of *Pyrus elaeagnifolia* in 2012.

**Manoharachariella elsadii** F. Selçuk & E. Hüseyin, sp. nov. 
MycoBank MB 808450

Etymology — Dedicated to Elşad Hüseyin for his great contribution to the knowledge of
Turkish micromycetes.

Species in genus *Manoharachariella* duae: *Manoharachariella lignicola* et *M. indica*, a
quae a conidiis late ellipsoides, ovoideis, dolioliformes, 20–17.5 × (9.5–10–12.5–15) µm ab bene
differt.

Colonies effuse, greenish black, blackish brown or brown, dense, velutinous, 1–10 × 0.5–4
mm. Conidiophores macronematous, mononematous, straight or flexuous, sparsely branched, 5–20-
septate, smooth, pale to dark brown, 50–128 × 3–5.5 µm. Conidiogenous cells monoblastic,
integrated, indeterminate, terminal and lateral (or intercalary), cylindrical, pale brown, brown,
(hyaline to subhyaline), pale brown towards the apex. Conidia solitary, dry, acropleurogenous,
simple, dictyoseptate, tiered, 6–7 transverse septa, 1–2 longitudinal septa, septa dark brown,
ellipsoidal, ovate, doliiform, 20–17.5 × (9.5–10–12.5–15) µm, with a hyaline, conical nipple at
the apex, 4.3–6.8 × 2.7–3.5 µm.

Material examined — Turkey, Kırşehir Province, Boztepe district, mountain sparse forest, on
wood of dead twigs of *Pyrus elaeagnifolia* Pallas (Rosaceae), 39° 13’ 285” N, 34° 13’ 648” E, 4

Figs 1–11 — *Manoharachariella elsadii*: 1, habit 2–4 colonies 5, branched conidiophore 6–7
conidiogenous cells 8–10 immature conidia and conidiophores 11, young conidium.
Figs 12–26 – Manoharachariella elsadii: 12, conidiogenous cell with young conidium 13, immature and young conidia 14–15 branched conidiophores with conidia 16, conidia and conidiophores 17, conidial mass 18–26 variation in conidial size and shape.

Discussion


Manoharachariella elsadii differs from M. lignicola and M. indica by smaller conidia, number of conidial septa, and size of conidiophores.
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