

Favolus gracilisporus (Polyporaceae, Basidiomycota), an East Asian polypore species new to the European mycobiota

Papp V^1 and Dima $B^{2,3}$

¹ Department of Botany, Faculty of Horticultural Science, Szent István University, H–1518, Pf. 53., Budapest, Hungary; papp.viktor@kertk.szie.hu

² Department of Plant Anatomy, Institute of Biology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/c, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary; cortinarius1@gmail.com

³ Department of Biosciences (Plant Biology), Viikki Plant Science Centre, University of Helsinki, P. O. Box 65, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland; dima.balint@helsinki.fi

Papp V, Dima B 2017 – *Favolus gracilisporus* (Polyporaceae, Basidiomycota), an East Asian polypore species new to the European mycobiota. Mycosphere 8(6), 1177–1184, Doi 10.5943/mycosphere/8/6/7

Abstract

The interesting finding of *Favolus gracilisporus* a recently described polypore species from East Asia is reported new to the European mycobiota from Hungary (Central Europe). This species has previously been known only from the type locality, Mt Hagga in South Korea. The ITS sequence, macro-, microscopical characteristics and photographs of the Hungarian specimen are given.

Key words – Central Europe – new record – *Polyporus* – phylogeny

Introduction

Europe is probably the best investigated area regarding the polypore mycobiota with approx. 400 poroid species (Ryvarden & Melo 2014). Nevertheless, new species (e.g. *Caudicicola gracilis* Kotir., Kulju & Miettinen, *Ceriporia pierii* Rivoire, Miettinen & Spirin) from the old continent have been described recently on rare occasions (Kotiranta et al. 2017, Miettinen et al. 2016) and new occurrences of extra-European (i.e. tropical or subtropical) polypores have also been reported sporadically, e.g. *Amylosporus campbellii* (Berk.) Ryvarden (Bernicchia et al. 2017). In 2016, a peculiar polyporoid species was found in Hungary (Central Europe) on the trunk of a planted hackberry tree (*Celtis* sp.). Macroscopically the basidiome is related to the favoloid morphotypes of *Polyporus* s. lato, but it differs from any known European species, viz. *Favolus pseudobetulinus* (Murashk. ex Pilát) Sotome & T. Hatt and *Neofavolus alveolaris* (DC.) Sotome & T. Hatt.

The sanctioned genus *Favolus* Fr. (non *Favolus* P. Beauv.) was established by Fries (1828) and later *F. brasiliensis* (Fr.) Fr. (\equiv *Daedalea brasiliensis* Fr.) was selected as a generic type (Donk 1960). In their monographic study, Núñez & Ryvarden (1995) treated "*Favolus*" as one of the six infrageneric groups of the genus *Polyporus* P. Micheli ex Adans., and characterized by the flabelliform to dimidiate pileus and the short lateral stipe without a dark crust. They listed four species to the "*Favolus*" group, namely *Polyporus alveolaris* (DC.) Bondartsev & Singer, *P. grammocephalus* Berk., *P. philippinensis* Berk., and *P. tenuiculus* (P. Beauv.) Fr. (as a synonym of *Favolus brasiliensis*).

 Table 1 Species, specimens and sequences used in the phylogenetic analysis.

Submitted 29 June 2017, Accepted 23 July 2017, Published 6 August 2017 Corresponding Author: Viktor Papp – e-mail – papp.viktor@kertk.szie.hu

| Species name | Sample no. | Locality | ITS | References |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Favolus acervatus | MEL:2382618 | Australia | KP012981 | GenBank |
| F. acervatus | Cui11053 | China | KU189774 | Zhou et al. (2016) |
| F. acervatus | SFC20120725-05 | Korea | KX792916 | Kim et al. (2016) |
| F. acervatus | TFM:F-14764 | Japan | AB735971 | Sotome et al. (2013) |
| F. brasiliensis | TENN10242 | Costa Rica | AB735976 | Sotome et al. (2013) |
| F. brasiliensis | INPA:241452 | Brazil | AB735977 | Sotome et al. (2013) |
| F. emerici | MEL:2382691 | Australia | KP013055 | GenBank |
| F. emerici | Dai 7847 | China | KM385430 | GenBank |
| F. gracilisporus ^a | SFC20130704-40 | South Korea | KY038472 | Tibpromma et al. (2017) |
| F. gracilisporus ^a | LWZ 20130809-15 | China | KM385429 | GenBank |
| F. gracilisporus | BP 106942 | Hungary | MF401551 | In this study |
| F. grammocephalus ^b | WD2379 | Japan | AB587628 | Sotome et al. (2011) |
| F. grammocephalus | Cui10926 | China | KU189776 | Zhou et al. (2016) |
| F. philippinensis ^b | Cui10941 | China | KX548976 | GenBank |
| F. philippinensis ^b | Dai7959 | China | KX548977 | GenBank |
| F. philippinensis ^b | Dai10849 | China | KX548978 | GenBank |
| F. pseudobetulinus ^b | CulTENN10298 | Finland | AF516571 | Krüger (2002) |
| F. pseudobetulinus ^b | TRTC:51022 | Canada | AB587629 | Sotome et al. (2011) |
| F. pseudobetulinus ^b | TFM:F-27626 | Japan | AB587645 | Sotome et al. (2011) |
| F. roseus | UOC WASNP W13 | Sri Lanka | KR049231 | GenBank |
| F. roseus | IJV04 | Tanzania | KM593876 | Juma et al. (2016) |
| F. tenuiculus | Dai13615A | China | KU189775 | Zhou et al. (2016) |
| F. tenuiculus ^b | ML284 | Malaysia | JQ409357 | GenBank |
| F. tenuiculus ^b | Mu_1 | unknown | KC951263 | GenBank |
| F. tenuiculus ^b | HE2934 | unknown | KC505555 | GenBank |
| Neofavolus alveolaris | KUC20130808-54 | South Korea | KJ668478 | Jang et al. (2015) |
| N. mikawai | TFM:F-27416 | Japan | AB735962 | Sotome et al. (2013) |
| N.cremeoalbidus | TUMH:50009 | Japan | AB735980 | Sotome et al. (2013) |

Notes: new sequence is in bold.

^a as *Favolus* sp. in GenBank.

^b as *Polyporus* in GenBank.

The phylogenetic analyses proved by Sotome et al. (2013) reveal that the infrageneric group "*Favolus*" is divided into two main clades in generic level. Based on morphological observations and molecular phylogenetic evidence, they revised *Favolus* and proposed *Neofavolus* Sotome & T. Hatt. as a new genus, typified on *N. alveolaris*. In this study we aimed to clarify the species level identity of the Hungarian "favoloid" specimen, based on macro- and micro-morphological features and molecular phylogenetic analysis of the ITS region.

Materials & Methods

Isolates and morphology

The Hungarian specimen studied is deposited at the herbarium of Hungarian Natural History Museum (BP). Macromorphological descriptions are based on field notes. Micromorphological data were obtained from the dried specimens, and observed under a Zeiss Axio Imager.A2 light microscope, equipped with AxioVision Release 4.8.2. software. Measurements were done with a $100 \times$ oil immersion objective ($1000 \times$ magnification). Drawings were produced with the aid of a drawing tube. Observations of microscopic features as well as measurements, and drawings were made from slide preparations stained with Melzer's reagent. Spores were measured from sections cut from the tubes. The following abbreviations were used in the description of the basidiospores: IKI =

Melzer's reagent, IKI-= both inamyloid and indextrinoid, L = mean spore length, W = mean spore width, Q = variation in the L/W ratios, n = number of spores were measured.



Figure 1 – Phylogenetic tree obtained from ITS sequences. Maximum likelihood bootstrap values >70% are given at the branches. Bar indicates 0.05 expected change per site per branch.

DNA isolation and PCR Analysis

We generated data for the ITS (internal transcribed spacer) nrDNA regions using the Phire® Plant Direct PCR Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) and following the manufacturer's recommendations. The primer pairs ITS1F/ITS4 (Gardes & Bruns 1993, White et al. 1990) were used to amplify the ITS regions. PCR procedures were as follows: initial denaturation on 98 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles denaturation on 98 °C for 5 s, annealing on 55 °C for 5 s, extension on 72 °C for 5 s, and a final extension on 72 °C for 1 min. PCR products were checked in 2% agarose gels. The amplicons were sequenced commercially at LGC Genomics (Berlin, Germany) with the same primers used in PCR reactions. The chromatograms were checked, assembled and edited with the CodonCodeAligner 7.0.1 (CodonCode Corporation, Centerville, MA, USA).

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

The newly generated *Favolus* sequence is deposited in GenBank (Benson et al. 2017) and the accession numbers is included in Table 1. For the phylogenetic analysis, similar sequences were searched from GenBank using the BLASTn search tool (Altschul et al. 1990). The ITS region was aligned with PRANK (Löytynoja & Goldman 2005, 2008) as implemented in its graphical interface (PRANKSTER) using default settings. SeaView (Gouy et al. 2010) was used to visually inspect and

improve the alignment. Gaps were coded following the simple indel coding algorithm (Simmons et al. 2001) with the program FastGap 1.2 (Borchsenius 2009). The nucleotide and the binary data sets resulted an alignment length of 773 characters. Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic analysis was carried out using RAxML (Stamatakis 2014) in raxmlGUI (Silvestro & Michalak 2012). For the nucleotide partition the GTRGAMMA substitution model, while for the indel partition the RAxML default set for binary characters were applied. Rapid bootstrap analysis with 1,000 replicates was performed using sequences of the genus *Neofavolus* as outgroup. The alignment and phylogenetic tree is deposited in TreeBASE under S21252.

Results

ITS sequence analyses

Altogether 25 ITS sequences of *Favolus* were included in our ML phylogenetic analysis. Eight strongly supported species level clades were recognized. The Hungarian specimen (MF401551) clustered together with *Favolus* sp. collected in China (KM385429) and the holotype of *F. gracilisporus* H. Lee, N.K. Kim & Y.W. Lim (KY038472), which is a recently described species from South Korea (Tibpromma et al. 2017). The closest species based on our ITS analysis is *F. pseudobetulinus* with only 89 % identity (564/636). Other morphologically somewhat similar species, i.e. *F. emerici* (Berk. ex Cooke) Imazeki, *F. philippinensis* (Berk.) Sacc. and *F. roseus* Lloyd cluster in a separate, strongly supported clade (BS=99%).

Taxonomy

Favolus gracilisporus H. Lee, N.K. Kim & Y.W. Lim, in Tibpromma et al., Fungal Diversity 83: 219, 2017. Figs 2–3

Basidiomes annual, attached by a short, rounded and undifferentiated lateral stipe, solitary or several form a branched base; pilei flabelliform to circular, up to 60 mm from the base to margin, up to 110 mm in width and 7 mm thick; upper surface azonate, fibrillose, radially striate, ivory to pale buff; margin concolorous or darker with age; hymenophore poroid, whitish or cream to pale brown, pores radially elongated, 2–2.5 mm long and 0.5–1 mm wide; dissepiments entire to slightly lacerate; tubes pale tan to ivory, corky, brittle when dry, up to 0.7 mm thick; context concolorous, continuous with the tube, homogenous, up to 5.5 mm thick. Stipe short, laterally attached to the pileus, buff, glabrous, with decurrent pores. Hyphal system dimitic with generative hyphae and skeleto-binding hyphae; generative hyphae hyaline in KOH, thin-walled, 3–4 µm in diam., septa with clamps, the thick-walled skeleto-binding hyphae aseptate, much branched, dominating in the basidiocarp, 3–6 µm in diam. Cystidia and cystidioles absent. Basidia clavate, 4–sterigmate, difficult to observe, 19– $23 \times 5-6$ µm, with a basal clamp connection. Basidiospores cylindric to slightly allantoid, hyaline, smooth, IKI–, (7.40–)7.72–8.38(–8.76) × (3.14–)3.27–3.5(–3.69) µm, L = 8.1 µm, W = 3.3 µm, Q = 2.39 (n = 30).

Specimen examined – Hungary, Central Transdanubia, Komárom–Esztergom County, Komárom (N 47°43'15", E 18°8'37"), leg. P. Jakab, 27 May 2016, on *Celtis* sp. trunk (BP 106942).

Discussion

Several recent phylogenetic studies confirmed that *Polyporus* is a polyphyletic genus, and treated *Favolus* and *Neofavolus* as separate genera (e.g. Dai et al. 2014, Seelan et al. 2015, Sotome et al. 2013, Zhou et al. 2016, Zmitrovich & Kovalenko 2016). The genus *Neofavolus* comprises four species, out of which the subporoid lamellae forming *N. suavissimus* (Fr.) J.S. Seelan, Justo & Hibbett (Seelan et al. 2015) and *N. alveolaris* have been found in Europe (Ryvarden & Melo 2014). *Neofavolus alveolaris* has similar diamond-shaped pores and a laterally stipitate basidiocarp as *Favolus gracilisporus*, but it can be distinguished by the solitary, typically smaller basidiocarp which has orange-yellow pileus with brown triangular squamules when young, as well as the larger basidiospores $[10-13(-14.5) \times (3.5-)4-5 \mu m]$ measured in European specimens, and the preference

of growing on small branches of different deciduous trees (Bernicchia 2005, Piątek 2004, Ryvarden & Melo 2014).



Figure 2 – *Favolus gracilisporus* basidiomes in the habitat (BP 106942). a–b pore surface. c–d pileal surface. Photographs by György Vrba

Most of the species accepted in the genus *Favolus* s. str. are mainly distributed in tropical areas (Sotome et al. 2013), and only F. pseudobetulinus is known from Europe, with a boreal distribution (Ryvarden & Melo 2014, Dai 2012). This species is closely related to F. gracilisporus in the phylogenetic analysis based on ITS sequences, but it has smaller angular pores (1-2 per mm) and simple septate tramal generative hyphae (Sotome et al. 2011). Favolus roseus distributed in tropical areas of Southeast Asia has similar radially elongated pores $(1-3 \times 0.5-2 \text{ mm})$ as F. gracilisporus, but it differs by the small basidiocarps with yellowish to brownish orange pore surface (Sotome et al. 2013). Favolus elongoporus (Drechsler-Santos & Ryvarden) Zmitr. & Kovalenko was described from Brazil that also has large irregularly elongated pores (0.5–2 per mm radially), but the hyphal pegs, the arboriform binding hyphae and the distinctly smaller basidia $(10-15 \times 3-7 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ and basidiospores ($6-8 \times 2-3 \mu m$) distinguished it from F. gracilisporus (Drechsler-Santos et al. 2008). Based on the morphological study of the holotype of F. philippinenis, Sotome et al. (2013) noted that this species is characterized by the large angular and elongated pores (1-4 mm long and 0.5-1.5 mm wide), the brownish orange pileus and the leathery context. Favolus philippinensis is widely accepted as a separate species (Coelho & da Silveira 2014, Gomes-Silva et al. 2012, Louza & Gugliota 2007, Sotome et al. 2013, Silveira & Wright 2002) and it has several synonyms as well proposed by Ryvarden (1989) based on type studies of the favoloid species described by Hennings (1900) and Lloyd (1922, 1923) from Southeast Asia and Oceania (i.e. F. glandulosus Lloyd, F. lagunae Lloyd, F. samoensis Lloyd, F. scabrolineatus Lloyd and Polyporus tomohoniensis Henn.), although, these synonymizations lack molecular phylogenetic investigations.



Figure 3 – Microscopic structure of *Favolus gracilisporus* (BP 106942). a contextual skeletalbinding hyphae. b clamped generative hyphae and skeletal-binding hyphae in the trama. c basidia. d basidiospores.

Conclusion

Molecular data confirm that the collection from Hungary is identical with the holotype of *Favolus gracilisporus*, although according to the description proved by Tibpromma et al. (2017) the Korean specimen has somewhat larger spores $(7.9-9.7 \times 2.5-3.3 \mu m)$ and basidia $(27-35 \times 5.7-8 \mu m)$ and distinctly thinner basidiocarp (up to 3.5 mm). The study of more collections of this species is needed to better estimate the morphological variability and real distribution of this taxon. Several species classified in *Favolus* by morphological features have not been studied by molecular methods (e.g. Zmitrovich & Kovalenko 2016) and a robust phylogeny including more specimens (e.g. type specimens) and species are needed to estimate a better knowledge of the genus. According to the present knowledge, *F. gracilisporus* is a separate species in the genus *Favolus* and the Hungarian findings is a new representative of this species to the European mycobiota.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to György Vrba for providing us the specimen and his in situ photos of the basidiocarps. We thank the anonymous reviewers for their useful comments and suggestions.

References

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990 Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215, 403–410.
- Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW. 2017 GenBank. Nucleic Acids Research 45(D1), D37–D42.
- Bernicchia A, Genovese R, Gorjón SP 2017 *Amylosporus campbellii* (Berk.) Ryvarden (Bondarzewiaceae, Basidiomycota), new record to Europe. Mycosphere 8(1), 98–101.
- Bernicchia A. 2005 Polyporaceae s. l. In: Fungi Europaei, vol. 10, Alassio. 808 p.
- Borchsenius F. 2009 FastGap 1.2. http://www.aubot.dk/FastGap_home.htm, Department of Biosciences, Aarhus University, Denmark.
- Coelho G, da Silveira RMB 2014 Taxonomy of *Polyporus* sensu lato and related genera (Basidiomycota) from Santa Maria, southern Brazil. Ciência e Natura, Santa Maria, 36(II), 688–710.
- Dai YC, Xue HJ, Vlasák J, Rajchenberg M, Wang B, Zhou LW. 2014 Phylogeny and global diversity of *Polyporus* group *Melanopus* (Polyporales, Basidiomycota). Fungal Diversity 64, 133–144.
- Dai YC. 2012 Polypore diversity in China with an annotated checklist of Chinese polypores. Mycoscience 53, 49–80.
- Donk MA. 1960 The generic names proposed for Polyporaceae. Persoonia 1(2), 173–302.
- Drechsler-Santos ER, Ryvarden L, Wartchow F, Cavalcanti MAQ. 2008 *Polyporus elongoporus* (Aphyllophorales, Poriaceae) sp. nov. Synopsis Fungorum 25, 38–43.
- Fries EM. 1828 Elenchus Fungorum. Vol. 1. Gryphiswaldiae. 238 pp.
- Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1993 ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Molecular Ecology 2(2), 113–118.
- Gomes-Silva AC, Ryvarden L, Medeiros PS, Pontes Sotão HM & Gibertoni TB 2012 *Polyporus* (Basidiomycota) in the Brazilian Amazonia, with notes on *Polyporus indigenus* I.J. Araujo & M.A. de Sousa and *P. sapurema* A. Møller. Nova Hedwigia 94, 227–238.
- Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2010 SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Molecular Biology & Evolution 27(2), 221–224.
- Hennings P. 1900 Fungi monsunenses. Monsunia 1, 137–174.
- Jang Y, Jang S, Min M, Hong JH, Lee H, Lee H, Lim YW, Kim JJ. 2015 Comparison of the diversity of basidiomycetes from dead wood of the manchurian fir (*Abies holophylla*) as evaluated by fruiting body collection, mycelial isolation, and 454 sequencing. Microbial Ecology 70(3), 634–645.
- Juma I, Mshandete AM, Tibuhwa DD, Kivaisi AK. 2016 Identification of Tanzanian saprophytic edible mushrooms by amplification and sequencing of ITS/LSU regions of ribosomal RNA operon. Tanzania Journal of Science 42, 109–121.
- Kim NK, Park JY, Park MS, Lee H, Cho HJ, Eimes JA, Kim C, Lim YW. 2016 Five new wood decay fungi (Polyporales and Hymenochaetales) in Korea. Mycobiology 44(3), 146–154.
- Kotiranta H, Kulju M, Miettinen O. 2017 *Caudicicola gracilis* (Polyporales, Basidiomycota), a new polypore species and genus from Finland. Annales Botanici Fennici 54: 159–167.
- Krüger D. 2002 Monographic studies in the genus *Polyporus* (Basidiomycotina). Doctoral dissertation. University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- Lloyd CG. 1922 Mycological Notes 67. Mycological Writings 7(67), 1137–1168.
- Lloyd CG. 1923 Mycological Notes 69. Mycological Writings 7(69): 1185–1218.
- Louza GSG, Gugliotta AM. 2007 *Polyporus* Fr. (Polyporaceae) no Parque Estadual das Fontes do Ipiranga, São Paulo, SP, Brasil. Hoehnea 34(3), 365–382.
- Löytynoja A, Goldman N. 2005 An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences with insertions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A. 102(30), 10557–10562.
- Löytynoja A, Goldman N. 2008 Phylogeny-aware gap placement prevents errors in sequence alignment and evolutionary analysis. Science 320, 1632–1635.
- Miettinen O, Spirin V, Vlasák J, Rivoire B, Stenroos S, Hibbett D. 2016 Polypores and genus concepts in Phanerochaetaceae (Polyporales, Basidiomycota). Mycokeys 17, 1–46.
- Núñez M, Ryvarden L. 1995 *Polyporus* (Basidiomycotina) and related genera. Synopsis Fungorum 10, 1– 85.
- Piątek M. 2004 Notes on Polish polypores 4. Polyporus alveolaris. Karstenia 44, 61–66.
- Ryvarden L, Melo I. 2014 Poroid fungi of Europe. Synopsis Fungorum 31, 1–455.
- Ryvarden L. 1989 Type studies in the Polyporaceae 21. Species described by C.G. Lloyd in *Cyclomyces*, *Daedalea*, *Favolus*, *Fomes* and *Hexagonia*. Mycotaxon 35: 229–236.

- Seelan JSS, Justo A, Nagy LG, Grand EA, Redhead SA, Hibbett D. 2015 Phylogenetic relationships and morphological evolution in *Lentinus*, *Polyporellus* and *Neofavolus*, emphasizing southeastern Asian taxa. Mycologia 107, 460–474.
- Silveira RMB, Wright JE. 2002 *Polyporus* s. str. In southern South America: mating tests. Mycological Research 106, 1323–1330.
- Silvestro D, Michalak I. 2012 raxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML. Organisms Diversity & Evolution 12, 335–337.
- Simmons MP, Ochoterena H, Carr TG. 2001 Incorporation, relative homoplasy, and effect of gap characters in sequence-based phylogenetic analysis. Systematic Biology 50(3), 454–462.
- Sotome K, Akagi Y, Lee SS, Ishikawa NK, Hattori T. 2013 Taxonomic study of *Favolus* and *Neofavolus* gen. nov. segregated from *Polyporus* (Basidiomycota, Polyporales). Fungal Diversity 58, 245–266.
- Sotome K, Hattori T, Ota Y. 2011 Taxonomic study on a threatened polypore, *Polyporus pseudobetulinus*, and a morphologically similar species, *P. subvarius*. Mycoscience 52: 319–326.
- Stamatakis A. 2014 RAxML version 8: a tool phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313
- Tibpromma S, Hyde KD, Jeewon R et al. 2017 Fungal diversity notes 491–602: taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa. Fungal Diversity 83(1), 1–261.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990 Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ. (eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, New York, pp 315–32.
- Zhou JL, Zhu L, Chen H, Cui BK. 2016 Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Polyporus* group *Melanopus* (Polyporales, Basidiomycota) from China. PLoS ONE 11(8), e0159495.
- Zmitrovich IV, Kovalenko AE. 2016 Lentinoid and polyporoid fungi, two generic conglomerates containing important medicinal mushrooms in molecular perspective. International Jouranl of Medicinal Mushrooms 18(1), 23–38.